ME

Notice of Allowability	Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)	
	10/719,075	KLEINEBERG ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Marc S. Zimmer	1712		
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperature All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RI of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in or other appropriate common GHTS. This application is:	n this application. If not included unication will be mailed in due course.	THIS initiative	
1. This communication is responsive to <u>11/21/03</u> .		·		
2.  The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1-13</u> .				
3. $\square$ The drawings filed on $\_\_\_$ are accepted by the Examine	r			
<ol> <li>Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority una a) All b) Some* c) None of the:         <ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have</li> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have</li> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have</li> <li>Popies of the certified copies of the priority documents have</li> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have</li> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have</li> <li>Popies of the certified copies of the priority documents have</li> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have</li> </ol> </li> <li>* Certified copies of the priority documents have</li> <li>The priority documents have</li> <li>The priority documents have</li> <li>Mall III</li> <li>The "MAILING DATE" of the priority documents have</li> </ol> <li>* Certified copies not received:          <ol> <li>Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" of the priority documents have</li> </ol> </li> <li>* Certified copies not received:</li>	been received. been received in Application cuments have been receive	on No d in this national stage application fron		
5. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submit INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give	itted. Note the attached EXA es reason(s) why the oath o	AMINER'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE of declaration is deficient.	OF	
<ol> <li>CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") mus</li> <li>(a) including changes required by the Notice of Draftspers</li> <li>1) hereto or 2) to Paper No./Mail Date</li> <li>(b) including changes required by the attached Examiner's Paper No./Mail Date</li> <li>Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1. each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the</li> </ol>	on's Patent Drawing Review  Amendment / Comment on  84(c)) should be written on to	in the Office action of	f	
<ol> <li>DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT I</li> </ol>	sit of BIOLOGICAL MATI	ERIAL must be submitted. Note the		
Attachment(s)  1. ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2. ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3. ☑ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0	6. ☐ Interview S Paper No./	formal Patent Application (PTO-152) ummary (PTO-413), Mail Date		
Paper No./Mail Date 11/21/03  4. Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit	_	Amendment/Comment Statement of Reasons for Allowance		
of Biological Material	9.  Other			

Art Unit: 1712

## Allowable Subject Matter

The Examiner located the following references that teach comparable inventions but, nonetheless, fail to anticipate or render obvious the instantly claimed invention:

Hellwig et al. U.S. Patent # 6,503,981

Hellwig discloses a polyurethane hot melt adhesive comprising all of (1), (3), (4), (5), and the isocyanate reactive compound. Rather than teaching a separate blocking agent, the reference discloses that blocked diisocyanates may be employed in the formation of the adhesive. However, it is noted that the claims are, in a sense, productby process claims insofar as the prepolymer is said to be "the reaction product of" (1) to (6). That is to say, the claims outline a list of starting materials from which the prepolymer is to be prepared thus implying a process. This is relevant because, "even though product-by-process claims are limited by and defined by the process, determination of patentability is based on the product itself. The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. If the product in the product-byprocess claim is the same as or obvious from a product of the prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior product was made by a different process" In re Thorpe, 777 F.2d 695, 698, 227 USPQ 964, 966 (Fed. Cir. 1985). It is the Examiner's position that, though a blocking agent separate of the diisocyanate reactant is not mentioned, it would still have been appropriate to reject under 102/103 had component (2) been taught because the blocking groups liberated from the diisocyanate prior to copolymerization of (1) to (5) remain in the system and would re-react with the

Application/Control Number: 10/719,075

Art Unit: 1712

prepolymer endgroups at the conclusion of the copolymerization. Hellwig even requires that 50% to 100% of the terminal isocyanate groups should be blocked.

Zimmel et al., U.S. Patent # 6,660,376

Zimmel discloses a polyurethane hot melt adhesive comprising all of (1), (2), (4), (5), and the isocyanate reactive compound. As with Hellwig, blocking agents are added in the form of blocked polyisocyanates where the blocking agent is dissociated from the diisocyanate compound with heating prior to copolymerization.

Together Hellwig and Zimmel teach every component of the instantly claimed hot-melt adhesive. This is significant because the courts have held that, "it is prima facie obvious to combine two compositions, each of which is taught by prior art to be useful for the same purpose, in order to form a third composition to be used for the very same purpose." In re Kerkhoven 205 USPQ 1069. The idea for combining said compositions flows logically from their having been individually taught in the prior art. In re Crockett 126 USPQ 186, 188. See also In re Shannon 148 USPQ 504 (one step laminate is obvious from two step laminate). However, inasmuch as there is already an elastomeric component to Hellwig's prepolymer, it is not evident what precisely would have motivated one of ordinary skill to further incorporate a reactant corresponding to the polyurethane elastomer. Furthermore, it could not be ascertained why a quantity large enough to contribute 40 to 60% of the total weight of the prepolymer would have been incorporated. Indeed, even Zimmel favors adding only 10 to 30 weight percent of this component and replacing such a large amount of the polymer-forming materials in

Art Unit: 1712

Hellwig with polyurethane elastomer would ostensibly radically change the properties of their prepolymer in ways that are not immediately evident.

These documents represent by far the most germane prior art available. Given their deficiencies as they pertain to an anticipation of the present invention, claims 1-13 are deemed allowable.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marc S. Zimmer whose telephone number is 571-272-1096. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Randy Gulakowski can be reached on 571-272-1302. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/719,075

Art Unit: 1712

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

February 28, 2005

ROBERT SELLERS PRIMARY EXAMINER